## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 20-407V UNPUBLISHED

DEBORAH FERRY,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: February 24, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Decision Awarding Damages; Pain and Suffering; Influenza (Flu) Vaccine; Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (SIRVA)

Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for Petitioner.

Matthew Murphy, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

## **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES**<sup>1</sup>

On April 9, 2020, Deborah Ferry filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") resulting from the adverse effects of an influenza vaccine she received on October 5, 2015. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters. Because the parties could not informally

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

resolve the issue of damages, they were ordered to file briefs setting forth their respective arguments and were notified that I would resolve this dispute via an expedited "Motions Day" hearing, which ultimately took place on February 24, 2023

Petitioner seeks an award of \$145,000.00 in compensation for Petitioner's actual pain and suffering. Respondent recommends an award of \$85,000.00 for actual pain and suffering. The parties agree on the amount of \$525.00 for actual unreimbursed expenses.

After listening to the arguments of both sides, I issued an oral ruling on damages constituting my findings of fact and conclusions of law, pursuant to Section 12(d)(3)(A), at the conclusion of the February 24, 2023 hearing. An official recording of the proceeding was taken by a court reporter, although a transcript has not yet been filed in this matter. I hereby fully adopt and incorporate that oral ruling as officially recorded.

As discussed during the hearing, in another recent decision I discussed at length the legal standard to be considered in determining damages and prior SIRVA compensation within SPU. I fully adopt and hereby incorporate my prior discussion in Sections II and III of *Winkle v. Sec'y Health & Human Servs.*, No. 20-0485V, 2022 WL 221643, at \*2-4 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 11, 2022) to the instant ruling and decision. Additionally, the official recording of my oral ruling includes my discussion of various comparable cases as well as specific facts relating to Petitioner's medical history and experience that further informed my decision awarding damages herein. For Ms. Ferry's case in particular, I award an amount higher than the moderate-SIRVA comparable cases discussed at hearing, giving weight to Petitioner's pre-existing above-the-knee amputation and prothesis, and the resulting difficulties the SIRVA placed on her.

Accordingly, based on my consideration of the complete record as a whole and for the reasons discussed in my oral ruling, and pursuant to Section 12(d)(3)(A), I find that \$140,000.00 represents a fair and appropriate amount of compensation for Petitioner's actual pain and suffering.<sup>3</sup> I also find that Petitioner is entitled to \$525.00 in actual unreimbursable expenses.

I award Petitioner a lump sum payment of \$140,525.00, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under Section 15(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Since this amount is being awarded for actual, rather than projected, pain and suffering, no reduction to net present value is required. See Section 15(f)(4)(A); Childers v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 96-0194V, 1999 WL 159844, at \*1 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Mar. 5, 1999) (citing Youngblood v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 32 F.3d 552 (Fed. Cir. 1994)).

Absent a motion for review, the Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>4</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.